

Nebraska School Breakfast Report 2018-19



Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Key Stats	2
Benefits of School Breakfast	3
How School Breakfast Works	4
Alternative Breakfast Models	5
Resources	6
Offering Breakfast & Lunch at No Cost	7
Resources	8
Hunger Free Schools & Most Improved Districts	9
Top Performing Districts	10
Let's Get Started!	11
Methods & Acknowledgements	12
Appendix	13

Links to an interactive map and downloadable district and school data are available at neappleseed.org/breakfast

Introduction

Most would be surprised to learn that Nebraska ranks near the bottom of the nation for all things school breakfast. We are [48th](#) in the nation (including the District of Columbia) for overall breakfast participation, [48th](#) for the ratio of low income students eating breakfast vs. lunch, and [51st](#) for the number of eligible schools adopting an innovative reimbursement option for low income schools called the Community Eligibility Provision.

While Nebraska's work ethic and independent spirit are well known, Nebraskans struggle to make ends meet just like the rest of America. Job changes, unexpected crises, and low or single-income households can make it harder to budget for necessities including food. School breakfast can be a major support for families going through these struggles.

This report is a reference but also a guide for school and district administrators, nutrition directors, teachers, and parents/caregivers for increasing participation in school breakfast. Choices that schools make about how, when, and even if they will serve breakfast affect the kids in their care immediately and for years to come.

As momentum grows for increasing school breakfast participation in our state, we look forward to supporting those involved by making breakfast innovations as seamless as possible. With our concerted efforts, we look forward to feeding more students and transforming more Nebraska schools!

Momentum for Breakfast in Nebraska

The [Go Big Breakfast coalition](#) is made up of advocates, educators, administrators, school nutrition professionals, and state agency leaders who have joined forces to help Nebraska improve school breakfast participation. In its first year, the coalition launched GoBigBreakfast.org to house Nebraska-specific resources and breakfast success stories while also offering schools \$5,000 Go Big Breakfast Grants to support schools switching to an alternative breakfast model.



Key Stats

1 in 6

children in Nebraska do not have consistent access to food to lead healthy and active lives

17%

of Nebraska schools do not serve breakfast


20%

more students, on average, eat breakfast when schools use an alternative breakfast model compared to traditional

\$7,306,787

additional federal dollars Nebraska would receive if we served 7 in 10 low income students breakfast who are eating lunch

Benefits of School Breakfast



Why are school meals important?

Over the past 10 years, the percent of students who access Free and Reduced Price (FRP) meals in Nebraska schools has risen from [38% to 45%](#). More families than ever are utilizing free and reduced price meals. Likewise, child food insecurity in Nebraska is still high despite improvement since the Great Recession. [1 in 6 kids](#) in Nebraska are without consistent access to food to lead an active and healthy life.

School breakfast programs can help meet the nutritional and social-emotional needs of kids. Removing barriers and increasing the availability of breakfast means healthier, better prepared students at home and at school.

What are barriers to students eating school breakfast?

Just offering breakfast at school is not enough to get students to participate. Alternative breakfast models can support eliminating barriers traditional cafeteria models maintain.

Common barriers for participation in breakfast include:

- late-arriving buses.
- busy families that arrive at school after breakfast has ended.
- social stigma around only “poor kids” eating school breakfast.
- not enough time to eat.
- financial struggles paying reduced-price or paid breakfast copay.

How do students benefit from school breakfast?

Students who eat school meals:

- are more likely to get the vitamins and minerals they need.
- are less likely to be overweight.
- have fewer visits to the nurse.
- see positive impacts on mental health, including reductions in behavioral problems, anxiety, and depression.
- have higher attendance, better overall grades, and are less likely to have behavior problems.
- before standardized testing have significantly higher scores in spelling, reading, and math.
- gain social-emotional skills that support positive interactions with others (see below).

Social Emotional Learning at Breakfast

Kids experiencing food insecurity are more likely to be withdrawn, apathetic, and struggle with mental health issues. [New research](#) shows that students in schools using alternative breakfast models increase social bonding time, while also reducing the stigma that breakfast is only for low-income kids. Kids in these programs are less likely to be absent or tardy, anxious or depressed, and are generally more engaged. Alternative breakfast models provide students with stability and help build trust between students, staff, and teachers.



How School Breakfast Works



The federally-funded National School Lunch Program (NSLP) and School Breakfast Program (SBP) provide schools with reimbursements and USDA foods for each qualifying meal served during the day.

In order to participate in these meal programs, schools need to serve meals that meet strict federal nutritional requirements and offer free and reduced price meals to students based on income.

Income Guidelines and Costs for FRP Meals

	Income (as % of Federal Poverty Level)	Income (as 2018 annual income for a family of 3)	Cost of school meal
<i>Free</i>	below 130%	below \$27,729	free
<i>Reduced-price</i>	between 130% and 185%	between \$27,729 and \$39,461	\$.30 breakfast / \$.40 lunch
<i>Paid</i>	above 185%	above \$39,461	\$1.58 breakfast* / \$2.65 lunch*

**2019 national average for high school per Nebraska Department of Education*

The federal government reimburses breakfast and lunch at different rates depending on whether a meal is free, reduced-price, or paid, providing schools with a reliable funding stream to support their programs. For SY 18-19, breakfasts were reimbursed by the federal government at these rates:

Free: \$1.79 / Reduced Price: \$1.49 / Paid: \$0.31

An additional \$0.35 is given for each free or reduced price breakfast served in “severe need” schools, where at least 40 percent of the lunches served during the second preceding school year were served free or reduced-price.

Students are usually certified as free or reduced-price by means of annual application (paper or online) that asks for a family’s income. Students can also be “directly certified” for free meals by being a part of a family that participates in a means-tested public benefit program like TANF, Medicaid, SNAP, Head Start, or FDPIR or being students identified as foster youth, homeless, runaway, or migrant.

“We are able to get more reimbursement and can afford to buy additional equipment for the school such as milk coolers or coolers for serving lines or a table or anything like that. And so, [alternative breakfast models] are a win-win-win for kids, the school, and definitely nutrition services.”

Kris Spellman
Director of Nutrition Services
Grand Island Public Schools

Alternative Breakfast Models



When schools move breakfast out of the cafeteria and make it a regular part of the school day, students are much more likely to participate. Traditional cafeteria service (hosting breakfast in the cafeteria before the start of school) can maintain barriers that keep kids away. Below are popular alternative breakfast models that remove barriers and increase participation:

1. Grab and Go

Students pick up food from kiosks or service lines around the school and eat in their classrooms or common areas. This model can help schools with fewer nutrition staff serve a high volume of students as they enter the building and can also make clean up easier for janitorial staff.

2. Second Chance Breakfast


Students are given a second chance to pick up breakfast following their first period class or during a mid-morning break. This model works well for middle and high school students who sometimes are not hungry first thing in the morning.

3. Breakfast in the Classroom

Students (usually younger) are served breakfast in their classroom at the beginning of the school day. The meal is brought to the classroom by a designated student, staff member, or volunteer in warming bags or coolers and then distributed by teachers who can incorporate lessons into meal time.

Over 190,000 Nebraska students were served via a traditional breakfast model last year. Alternative models served about 115,000, but over 37,000 students had no school breakfast option at all. Based on the data, it is clear that if a school or district's priority is serving more students, alternative models are more effective.


Nebraska Schools using Breakfast Models, 18-19



Nebraska Breakfast Model Participation 18-19

	NE Average Breakfast Participation Rate	Nebraska Students Served
<i>Traditional</i>	43%	190,090
<i>Grab and Go</i>	54%	100,323
<i>None</i>	0%	37,489
<i>Other</i>	56%	12,465
<i>Classroom</i>	78%	2,016

Alternative Breakfast Model Resources



We find that stakeholder groups have some of the same questions and concerns about alternative breakfast models. The following tools can help these decision makers with everything from implementation and evaluation to communications and marketing.

Administrators



- [Alternative Breakfast Models for Nebraska Administrators](#): Common concerns and solutions from NE districts.
- [Participation Tips And Student Surveys](#): High breakfast participation is the result of many things. These resources highlight logistics, student buy-in, and other necessities.
- [Implementation Tools](#): This collection of tools includes a Pre-implementation Checklist, Breakfast After the Bell Rollout Timelines and a Breakfast in the Classroom Activity Guide.

Teachers



- [Alternative Breakfast Models for Nebraska Teachers](#): Common concerns and solutions from NE districts.
- [Breakfast after the Bell Myths](#): Find common myths and concerns about Breakfast after the Bell and facts and testimony from teachers who have made the transition.
- [Classroom Activity Guide](#): This NYC DOE's guide for teachers has ideas for classroom activities, rules, structure and weekly schedules that you can adapt to your own classroom and state guidelines.

Nutrition Staff



- [Alternative Breakfast Models for Nebraska Nutrition Staff](#): Common concerns and solutions from NE districts.
- [Menu Planning](#): Building a successful Breakfast After the Bell menu requires food that meet USDA guidelines and is also appealing to kids. It must be transportable and easy to consume in the classroom. These sample menus and planning tools can help.
- [Equipment Tips](#): A Breakfast After the Bell program may require specialized equipment. This resource will help guide your equipment selection based on the model you choose.

Parents/Caregivers



- [Alternative Breakfast Models for Nebraska Parents/Caregivers](#): Common concerns and solutions from NE districts.
- [School Breakfast – Healthier Than You Think](#): School Breakfast often gets a bad rap for being unhealthy, when in reality the food options at school adhere to strict nutritional guidelines, and are often much healthier than store-bought breakfast.
- [Alternative Breakfast Models 101 Videos](#): These short videos outline how Breakfast After the Bell benefits students and classrooms, and can be a seamless part of the instructional day.

Offering Breakfast & Lunch at No Cost




Data shows that federally supported programs that offer breakfast and lunch at no cost in high poverty schools, such as the Community Eligibility Provision (CEP) and Provision 2, feed more students and also contribute to a culture of success. Below are common options:

1. Community Eligibility

CEP is a federal program that allows high poverty schools to serve free breakfast and lunch to all students regardless of family income. Schools with populations of 40 percent or more directly certified students can elect to participate with reimbursements proportionally rising as poverty increases. Collecting the FRP Lunch form is unnecessary with this option.

Nebraska Schools using Breakfast Reimbursement, 18-19



2. Provision 2

Provision 2 offers no cost meals to all students regardless of income for breakfast OR lunch using the average participation of students during the first year of a four year cycle. Similar to CEP, this option allows districts to eliminate the FRP Lunch form.

3. Free meals ("Nonpricing")


Studies have shown that schools with lower breakfast participation (under 50 percent) and relatively high FRP populations (70 percent or more) can still break even serving all meals free to kids and families with a standard federal reimbursement. The increase in participation increases reimbursement proportionally and generally covers expenses.

Doubling Participation in CEP by SY 20-21

CEP has gained renewed momentum this year with a new campaign aimed at doubling the number of students served by the program for SY 20-21. Nebraska's Commissioner of Education, Dr. Matt Blomstedt, is challenging districts with schools eligible for CEP at a high rate to study the program and make a decision that works for them and their students. NDE Nutrition Services has offered to help districts analyze the impact of CEP on all school programs on a case-by-case basis.



Offering Breakfast & Lunch at No Cost cont.



Breakfast for All Success

Nebraska has seen participation in CEP and Provision 2 grow over the years, but at a slower pace than other states. We currently rank last, [51st in the US](#) (including Washington D.C.), for the number of eligible schools that have opted into CEP. With benefits like no cost meals for families, higher participation rates for students, and reduced administrative burdens, more Nebraska schools should review and apply for this program.

Studies have shown that schools with lower breakfast participation (under 50 percent) and relatively high FRPL populations (70 percent or more) can still break even using non-pricing.

Nebraska Breakfast Reimbursement and Participation, 18-19

	NE Average Breakfast Participation Rate	NE Students Served
<i>Regular Reimbursement</i>	24%	276,248
<i>Provision 2</i>	54%	63,709
<i>CEP</i>	56%	4,121

Breakfast for All Resources

Overall


- [Offering Breakfast Free to All Students](#) - FRAC
- [Providing Universal Free Meals](#) - No Kid Hungry

Provision 2

- [How it works: Provision 2](#) - FRAC
- [Provision 2 Guidance](#) - USDA

CEP

- [CEP Resources](#) - Nebraska Department of Education
- [Strategies for Finding Success with CEP](#) - No Kid Hungry



Druid Hill Elementary School in Omaha Public Schools adopted the Community Eligibility Provision in 2015. Administrators saw immediate changes in school culture, kids behavior, health, and academics. [Read more here](#) or [watch the video](#).

Hunger Free Schools

Schools and Districts that have taken up the Community Eligibility Provision (CEP) to feed all students breakfast and lunch at no cost are Hunger Free Schools. We support their efforts and look forward to adding more in the future.

Banner County Public School

Bridgeport Public School

Gordon-Rushville Public Schools

Minatare Public Schools

Nelson Mandela Elementary School

North Platte Public Schools

Buffalo Elementary

Cody Elementary

Jefferson Elementary

Lincoln Elementary

Omaha Public Schools

Druid Hill Elementary

Franklin Elementary

Kennedy Elementary

King Elementary

Lothrop Elementary

Sacred Heart School

Santee Community Schools

St. Andrews School (South Sioux)

St Augustine Indian Mission School

Umo 'ho' Nation Public School

Walthill Public Schools

Wayne Public Schools

Wayne Early Learning Ctr

Winnebago Public Schools

Most Improved Districts

These 10 districts had a large positive increase in school breakfast participation this past year.

As district leaders make decisions about how to serve breakfast, they can consider smaller changes including promotion, menu changes, taste tests, and other work to reduce barriers.

District	Participation Increase from 17-18 to 18-19	Breakfast Model	Prov 2, CEP, or Standard Reimbursement
<i>Winnebago Public School</i>	21.6%	classroom	CEP
<i>Loomis Public School</i>	11.7%	traditional	standard
<i>Leyton Public School</i>	11.3%	traditional	standard
<i>Wauneta-Palisade Public School</i>	9.6%	traditional	standard
<i>Loup City Public School</i>	9.5%	mixed	standard
<i>Hemingford Public School</i>	9.5%	traditional	standard
<i>Hampton Public School</i>	8.5%	traditional	standard
<i>Diller-Odell Public Schools</i>	9.0%	traditional	standard
<i>Niobrara Public School</i>	8.0%	traditional	standard
<i>Harvard Public School</i>	7.6%	traditional	standard

Top Performing Districts

In the 2018-19 school year, Nebraska FRP-eligible students ate 44.7 breakfasts for every 100 lunches, up from 44.1 the year before. This year, there were 19 outstanding districts that met the national benchmark of FRP-eligible students eating 70 breakfasts for every 100 lunches. Congratulations to these districts!

To see how your district stacks up, visit neappleseed.org/breakfast.

District	FRPL Students in Breakfast per 100 in Lunch	Breakfast Model	Prov 2, CEP, or Standard Reimbursement
<i>ESU #4</i>	124.7	Traditional	Standard
<i>St. Michael's School - S. Sioux City</i>	119.6	Other	Standard
<i>St. Paul Lutheran School - Omaha</i>	102.3	Grab and Go	CEP
<i>Winnebago Public School</i>	99.7	Classroom	CEP
<i>Bayard Public Schools</i>	86.7	Mixed	Standard
<i>Chadron City Schools</i>	82.6	Mixed	Standard
<i>Villa Marie School</i>	79.7	Traditional	Standard
<i>Wauneta-Palisade Public School</i>	79.6	Traditional	Standard
<i>North Platte Public School</i>	78.7	Other	Standard
<i>Niobrara Public School</i>	77.5	Traditional	Standard
<i>Wood River Rural Schools</i>	75.9	Traditional	Standard
<i>Sumner Eddyville Miller School</i>	75.8	Traditional	Standard
<i>St. Paul Lutheran School - Utica</i>	73.9	Traditional	Standard
<i>Sandhills Public Schools</i>	73.5	Traditional	Standard
<i>Loomis Public School</i>	72.5	Traditional	Standard
<i>Schuyler Community Schools</i>	72.1	Traditional	Standard
<i>Callaway Public School</i>	70.8	Traditional	Standard
<i>All Saints School - Omaha</i>	70.7	Traditional	Standard
<i>Gothenburg Public School</i>	70.6	Mixed	Standard

Lessons from NE's Top Performers: Bayard Public Schools

"Running our [Grab and Go] mini-pilot took away a lot of the resistance to the idea because people were excited about how easy it was.

"The High School principal told me in November of our first year, 'I gotta tell you - between the start of school and first lunch, I've had 2 students sent to the office all year. A year ago my office was full of people all morning long.' It made a tremendous difference." - *Dr. Travis Miller Bayard Superintendent*





Let's Get Started!

More students eating breakfast at school has clear benefits: academics, health, social-emotional learning, attendance, behavior and school culture change for the better as participation goes up. Alternative breakfast models and Breakfast for All options are the best way to eliminate barriers to kids eating.

Schools have the power to make these changes and now is the time to act.

Our challenge to school breakfast decision-makers:

1. **Breakfast for All:** Evaluate eligibility for and potential impacts of adopting CEP or Provision 2 breakfast.
2. **Alternative Breakfast Models:** Learn more about breakfast after the bell models from the resources provided. Don't forget to talk with others about the options available to your school.
3. **Visit a School Breakfast veteran:** Visit a school using an alternative breakfast model or CEP / Provision 2, see how they do what they do, and listen to their stories of impact. Nebraska is full of them!

Nebraska Appleseed and the Nebraska Department of Education can help with any and all of this.

Lessons from NE's Top Performers: Gothenburg Public Schools

Nutrition Director, Joni Jacobsen, has been serving "brunch" to students in Gothenburg for years. When kids wake up, they may not be hungry so it makes sense to serve a small snack to help keep up their energy.



Breakfast Service in Gothenburg

"Kids get on the bus at 6:15 and are ready for something by 9 or 9:30 to pull them through till lunch. High school kids who play sports would eat at 6:30 at home, eat brunch, eat lunch and it was 6:30 or 7:00 at night before they got food again. It makes a big difference.

"When we started, the school nurse said, I can't believe it, usually it's like a revolving door, I've got headaches and tummy aches, and this type of stuff and breakfast/brunch took care of that - it took care of that need. It still takes care of that." - *Joni Jacobsen, Nutrition Director*

Methods & Acknowledgements

This report was written by:

Eric Savaiano, M.Ed.

Senior Program Coordinator, Economic Justice Program

March 2020

District and school-level participation data used in this report was provided by the [Nebraska Department of Education's Nutrition Services Department](#). Information on alternative breakfast models, Breakfast for All options, and data support was contributed by the [Food Research and Action Center \(FRAC\)](#) and [No Kid Hungry](#).

Generous funding to create this report was provided to Nebraska Appleseed by [Food Research and Action Center \(FRAC\)](#), the [Walmart Foundation](#), and the [Partners for Breakfast in the Classroom](#).

To access further data including district level statistics and a map of all Nebraska schools and districts, please visit neappleseed.org/breakfast.

Nebraska Appleseed is a nonprofit organization that fights for justice and opportunity for all Nebraskans. We take a systemic approach to complex issues – such as child welfare, immigration policy, affordable health care, and poverty – and we take our work wherever we believe we can do the most good, whether that's at the courthouse, in the statehouse, or in the community.

Go Big Breakfast is a coalition of advocates, educators, administrators, school nutrition professionals, and state agency leaders who have joined forces to help Nebraska improve school breakfast participation. Go Big Breakfast is here to provide resources, training, and support designed to help all students start their day with a win. Learn more at gobigbreakfast.org.



Appendix - Nebraska District Breakfast Options and Performance, SY 18-19

Additional school and district breakfast data and an interactive map for SY 18-19 can be downloaded at neappleseed.org/breakfast.

Notations: * - data is masked by NDE to protect privacy / ! - data is unavailable / NA - not applicable

Note: Data is aggregated from NDE serving sites which are different from building sites. Some discrepancy among enrollment and other data points is possible.

District	Enrollment	Free and Reduced Price Student Percentage	Breakfast Model	Provision 2 Breakfast	Community Eligibility Provision (CEP)	FRP students in Breakfast per 100 in Lunch (Goal: 70)	Add'l FRP Students in Breakfast Each Day if 70 per 100 in Lunch	Add'l Federal Funding if 70 FRP Kids Ate Breakfast per 100 Lunch	State Rank for FRP Students in Breakfast per 100 in Lunch
Adams Central Public Schools	1005	23%	traditional	no	no	45	14	\$7,152.06	75
Ainsworth City School	429	46%	traditional	no	no	36	45	\$11,851.18	112
All Saints School - Holdrege	58	*	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
All Saints School - Omaha	163	85%	traditional	no	no	71	over 70	over 70	8
Allen Consolidated Schools	163	42%	traditional	no	no	43	14	\$3,739.90	82
Alliance Public Schools	1385	56%	traditional	no	no	25	38	\$52,756.79	149
Alma Public School	353	44%	traditional	no	no	45	31	\$8,146.15	74
Amherst Public School	390	26%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Anselmo-Merna Public School	292	40%	traditional	no	no	38	30	\$8,206.32	103
Ansley Public School	179	47%	traditional	no	no	45	19	\$4,777.67	72
Aquinas-St. Mary's School	506	17%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Arapahoe Public School	357	56%	traditional	no	no	49	34	\$8,994.95	52
Arcadia Public School	125	50%	traditional	no	no	57	7	\$1,839.60	27
Archbishop Bergan Catholic School	177	12%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Arlington Public School	700	24%	traditional	no	no	28	59	\$16,110.32	143
Arnold Public School	175	23%	other	no	no	30	17	\$4,506.38	139
Ashland Greenwood Schools	964	32%	traditional	no	no	42	35	\$19,506.13	87
Auburn Public School	937	37%	traditional	no	no	45	29	\$15,378.61	73
Aurora Public Schools	1287	34%	traditional	no	no	40	38	\$30,957.39	99
Axtell Community School	286	25%	traditional	no	no	14	32	\$8,359.45	159
Bancroft-Rosalie School	307	48%	traditional	no	no	68	2	\$719.63	12
Banner County School	129	58%	grab and go	no	no	28	26	\$6,765.76	142
Battle Creek Public School	450	20%	traditional	no	no	48	9	\$4,820.21	57
Bayard Public Schools	398	56%	grab and go	no	no	87	over 70	over 70	2
Beatrice Public School	2151	52%	mixed	no	no	46	36	\$60,650.39	70
Bellevue Public Schools	9807	36%	traditional	no	no	35	46	\$256,169.13	116
Bennington Public School	2931	10%	traditional	no	no	38	14	\$20,155.36	109
Bertrand Community School	252	42%	traditional	no	no	40	25	\$6,241.90	97
Bishop Neumann High School	266	12%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Blair Community Schools	2294	25%	mixed	no	no	35	29	\$48,175.66	117
Blessed Sacrament School - Lincoln	175	35%	traditional	no	no	22	24	\$6,184.06	153
Bloomfield School	262	46%	traditional	no	no	47	24	\$6,777.68	59
Blue Hill School	339	32%	traditional	no	no	38	26	\$6,622.89	104
Boone Central District 1	626	33%	mixed	no	no	31	32	\$16,568.61	135
Boyd County Schools	343	60%	traditional	no	no	61	5	\$3,845.35	22
Brady Public School	175	39%	grab and go	no	no	58	7	\$1,887.13	25

District	Enrollment	Free and Reduced Price Student Percentage	Breakfast Model	Provision 2 Breakfast	Community Eligibility Provision (CEP)	FRP students in Breakfast per 100 in Lunch (Goal: 70)	Add'l FRP Students in Breakfast Each Day if 70 per 100 in Lunch	Add'l Federal Funding if 70 FRP Kids Ate Breakfast per 100 Lunch	State Rank for FRP Students in Breakfast per 100 in Lunch
Bridgeport Public School	456	56%	traditional	no	no	47	43	\$11,248.70	63
Broken Bow Public School	860	40%	traditional	no	no	54	14	\$7,312.94	37
Bruning-Davenport Unified System	190	32%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Burwell Public Schools	319	40%	traditional	no	no	31	34	\$9,611.50	136
Callaway Public School	221	24%	traditional	no	no	71	over 70	over 70	7
Cambridge Public School	330	40%	traditional	no	no	31	40	\$9,900.29	134
Cathedral of the Risen Christ	328	14%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Cedar Bluffs Public School	428	56%	traditional	no	no	51	35	\$9,659.03	48
Centennial Public School	460	47%	grab and go	no	no	45	42	\$11,057.65	76
Central City Public School	744	45%	traditional	no	no	44	23	\$19,115.07	80
Central Valley Public School	303	55%	traditional	no	no	51	13	\$6,852.22	50
Centura Public School	490	34%	traditional	no	no	38	47	\$12,519.39	107
Chadron City Schools	920	43%	mixed	no	no	83	over 70	over 70	3
Chambers Public School	132	32%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Chase County Schools	625	35%	traditional	no	no	17	100	\$27,306.22	156
Christ Lutheran School - Juniata	83	14%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Christ Lutheran School - Norfolk	236	16%	traditional	no	no	14	15	\$3,709.18	158
Christ Schools	196	11%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Christ the King School	356	5%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Clarkson Public School	211	33%	traditional	no	no	52	11	\$3,106.39	46
Cody-Kilgore Schools	168	57%	traditional	no	no	61	4	\$1,692.48	21
Columbus Public School	4090	52%	traditional	no	no	28	109	\$212,634.12	144
Concordia Lutheran Schools of Omaha, Inc.	543	3%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Conestoga Public Schools	718	26%	traditional	no	no	46	19	\$8,782.54	68
Cozad Community Schools	950	52%	traditional	no	no	40	30	\$30,800.60	95
Crawford Public Schools	204	52%	traditional	no	no	65	4	\$1,067.34	16
Creek Valley Schools	187	47%	traditional	no	no	51	10	\$2,997.82	47
Creighton Community Public School	276	38%	traditional	no	no	18	41	\$11,137.54	155
Crete Public Schools	2136	61%	mixed	no	no	55	42	\$45,512.55	35
Crofton Community School	314	33%	traditional	no	no	32	19	\$9,683.25	128
Cross County Community Schools	358	33%	traditional	no	no	54	14	\$3,717.82	39
David City Public School	630	46%	traditional	no	no	37	38	\$20,989.17	110
Deshler Lutheran School	52	46%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Deshler Public School	252	42%	traditional	no	no	36	17	\$8,131.24	114
Diller-Odell Public Schools	251	25%	traditional	no	no	52	5	\$2,798.04	45
District 11 Area Schools	159	48%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Doniphan-Trumbull Public School	473	28%	traditional	no	no	38	28	\$7,848.37	102
Dorchester Public School	218	45%	traditional	no	no	42	23	\$6,490.00	89
Douglas County West Community Schools	970	33%	traditional	no	no	46	19	\$15,787.48	67
Dundy County Public School	318	58%	traditional	no	no	54	8	\$6,279.95	40
East Butler Public School	308	19%	grab and go	no	no	30	10	\$5,589.74	138

District	Enrollment	Free and Reduced Price Student Percentage	Breakfast Model	Provision 2 Breakfast	Community Eligibility Provision (CEP)	FRP students in Breakfast per 100 in Lunch (Goal: 70)	Add'l FRP Students in Breakfast Each Day if 70 per 100 in Lunch	Add'l Federal Funding if 70 FRP Kids Ate Breakfast per 100 Lunch	State Rank for FRP Students in Breakfast per 100 in Lunch
East Catholic Elementary	43	28%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Elba Public School	120	63%	traditional	no	no	56	8	\$2,140.85	31
Elgin Public School	169	43%	traditional	no	no	53	11	\$2,763.62	42
Elkhorn Public Schools	9857	8%	mixed	no	no	26	17	\$77,814.90	147
Elkhorn Valley Schools	406	32%	traditional	no	no	27	42	\$11,599.39	145
Elm Creek Public School	357	35%	traditional	no	no	53	13	\$3,516.74	41
Elmwood-Murdock School	471	17%	traditional	no	no	32	11	\$6,094.15	129
Elwood Public Schools	211	46%	traditional	no	no	43	22	\$5,417.99	83
Emerson-Hubbard Schools	251	49%	traditional	no	no	57	7	\$3,964.05	29
Emmanuel Lutheran School	139	32%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
ESU #1	!	!	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
ESU #13	!	!	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
ESU #2	!	!	traditional	no	no	69	0	\$58.55	10
ESU #3	!	!	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
ESU #4	!	!	traditional	no	no	125	over 70	over 70	1
ESU #8	!	!	mixed	no	no	59	1	\$733.85	23
Eustis-Farnam Public Schools	172	40%	traditional	no	no	42	17	\$4,284.69	88
Ewing Public School	137	58%	traditional	no	no	36	25	\$6,480.08	115
Fairbury Public School	899	54%	mixed	no	no	59	8	\$10,989.92	24
Faith Lutheran School	114	12%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Falls City Public School	896	54%	traditional	no	no	37	31	\$34,459.65	111
Falls City Sacred Heart Catholic School	233	18%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Fillmore County School District	587	40%	traditional	no	no	41	17	\$14,177.34	90
Fort Calhoun Community Schools	719	18%	traditional	no	no	23	24	\$12,920.55	151
Franklin Public School	281	49%	traditional	no	no	46	27	\$7,197.57	69
Freeman Public School	442	16%	traditional	no	no	47	13	\$3,410.68	61
Fremont Public School	4732	61%	mixed	no	no	33	77	\$227,622.77	123
Friend Public School	251	35%	traditional	no	no	40	20	\$5,665.77	96
Fullerton Public School	299	41%	traditional	no	no	40	23	\$6,117.31	94
Garden County Schools	264	61%	traditional	no	no	64	4	\$1,879.46	17
Gering Public School	1957	49%	traditional	no	no	34	45	\$64,220.88	120
Gibbon Public School	589	52%	traditional	no	no	31	85	\$22,518.79	133
Giltner Public School	209	30%	traditional	no	no	27	23	\$6,128.54	146
Good Shepherd Lutheran School	92	27%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Gordon-Rushville Public Schools	616	59%	traditional	no	no	41	29	\$24,436.02	93
Gothenburg Public School	883	34%	mixed	no	no	71	over 70	over 70	9
Grace Lutheran School - Geneva	14	71%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Grand Island Public School	9883	68%	mixed	partial	no	52	50	\$263,029.04	44
Gretna Public School	5492	9%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Guardian Angels Central Catholic Schools	393	10%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Hampton Lutheran School	45	*	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA

District	Enrollment	Free and Reduced Price Student Percentage	Breakfast Model	Provision 2 Breakfast	Community Eligibility Provision (CEP)	FRP students in Breakfast per 100 in Lunch (Goal: 70)	Add'l FRP Students in Breakfast Each Day if 70 per 100 in Lunch	Add'l Federal Funding if 70 FRP Kids Ate Breakfast per 100 Lunch	State Rank for FRP Students in Breakfast per 100 in Lunch
Hampton Public School	159	28%	traditional	no	no	55	6	\$1,584.25	34
Hartington-Newcastle Public School	370	40%	traditional	no	no	34	22	\$11,691.09	119
Harvard Public School	293	69%	traditional	no	no	44	37	\$10,127.68	78
Hastings Catholic Schools	443	15%	traditional	no	no	20	15	\$7,602.28	154
Hastings Public Schools	3610	62%	traditional	partial	no	51	47	\$88,893.30	49
Hay Springs Public School	201	42%	traditional	no	no	34	26	\$5,798.86	121
Hayes Center Public School	107	59%	traditional	no	no	9	30	\$7,886.30	161
Heartland Community School	322	30%	traditional	no	no	48	16	\$4,657.21	55
Hemingford Public School	449	40%	traditional	no	no	44	33	\$8,742.10	81
Hershey Public School	523	21%	traditional	no	no	32	33	\$8,562.39	130
High Plains Community School	260	46%	traditional	no	no	39	14	\$7,819.04	100
Hitchcock County School	306	56%	traditional	no	no	49	15	\$7,890.06	54
Holdrege Schools	1101	38%	traditional	no	no	44	27	\$21,923.83	79
Holy Cross School	397	32%	traditional	no	no	13	53	\$13,779.35	160
Holy Family School	118	19%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Holy Name School	235	78%	mixed	no	no	44	42	\$9,828.24	77
Holy Trinity School	188	14%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Homer Community School	390	46%	traditional	no	no	32	54	\$14,581.22	126
Howells Community Catholic School	45	*	grab and go	no	no	0	3	NA	162
Howells-Dodge Consolidated School District	250	34%	traditional	no	no	47	9	\$4,721.68	62
Humboldt/Tablerock-Steinauer	360	51%	traditional	no	no	45	36	\$9,983.13	71
Humphrey Public School	277	23%	traditional	no	no	25	28	\$7,398.83	150
Immanuel Lutheran School - Columbus	160	9%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Jesuit Academy	77	70%	traditional	no	no	68	1	\$206.31	11
Johnson County Central Public School	526	51%	traditional	no	no	32	39	\$22,010.34	125
Johnson-Brock Public School	355	36%	traditional	no	no	56	16	\$4,388.27	33
Kearney Catholic High School	351	6%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Kearney Public Schools	5905	40%	traditional	no	no	47	31	\$79,226.35	60
Kenesaw Public School	276	32%	traditional	no	no	38	23	\$6,290.58	108
Keya Paha County Schools	86	51%	traditional	no	no	31	15	\$3,610.47	131
Kimball Public Schools	421	47%	traditional	no	no	56	11	\$5,952.62	32
Lakeview Community Schools	855	37%	grab and go	no	no	48	21	\$16,512.45	56
Laurel-Concord-Coleridge School	456	40%	traditional	no	no	33	27	\$14,196.72	122
Leigh Community School	226	26%	grab and go	no	no	61	2	\$1,271.69	20
Lewiston Consolidated School	194	58%	grab and go	no	no	55	14	\$3,785.33	36
Lexington Public Schools	3104	78%	mixed	no	no	64	17	\$32,540.52	18
Leyton Public School	162	42%	traditional	no	no	29	11	\$5,673.69	140
Lincoln Lutheran School Association	327	7%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Lincoln Public Schools	42020	46%	mixed	partial	no	43	67	\$1,141,913.43	85
Litchfield Public School	121	54%	traditional	no	no	50	10	\$2,749.46	51

District	Enrollment	Free and Reduced Price Student Percentage	Breakfast Model	Provision 2 Breakfast	Community Eligibility Provision (CEP)	FRP students in Breakfast per 100 in Lunch (Goal: 70)	Add'l FRP Students in Breakfast Each Day if 70 per 100 in Lunch	Add'l Federal Funding if 70 FRP Kids Ate Breakfast per 100 Lunch	State Rank for FRP Students in Breakfast per 100 in Lunch
Logan View Public School	547	37%	traditional	no	no	57	12	\$5,865.02	28
Loomis Public School	235	33%	traditional	no	no	73	over 70	over 70	6
Louisville Public School	687	19%	traditional	no	no	25	44	\$11,684.15	148
Loup City Public School	332	44%	traditional	no	no	56	9	\$4,516.58	30
Loup County Public School	70	54%	traditional	no	no	58	4	\$1,085.33	26
Lourdes Central Catholic School	362	15%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Lyons-Decatur N.E. School	284	52%	traditional	no	no	49	27	\$7,494.50	53
Madison Public School	522	71%	grab and go	no	no	41	44	\$23,862.08	92
Madonna School	37	*	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Malcolm Public School	600	17%	other	no	no	63	3	\$1,677.16	19
Mary Our Queen School	562	11%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Maxwell Public Schools	302	47%	traditional	no	no	38	37	\$9,299.43	106
Maywood Public School	166	48%	traditional	no	no	47	14	\$3,704.09	64
McCook Public School	1425	43%	mixed	no	no	48	23	\$24,878.43	58
McCool Junction Public School	282	46%	other	no	no	53	16	\$3,972.60	43
Mead Public School	267	36%	traditional	no	no	36	26	\$7,370.35	113
Medicine Valley School	234	24%	traditional	no	no	46	11	\$5,612.39	66
Meridian Public School	243	58%	traditional	no	no	46	27	\$7,443.77	65
Messiah Lutheran School	212	9%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Milford Public School	760	22%	traditional	no	no	30	26	\$13,760.99	137
Millard Public Schools	24104	21%	mixed	no	no	40	34	\$333,163.95	98
Minatare Public Schools	198	91%	traditional	yes	no	54	19	\$5,244.72	38
Minden Public Schools	834	35%	traditional	no	no	29	28	\$22,222.64	141
Mitchell Public School	715	46%	traditional	no	no	43	62	\$17,018.52	84
Morrill Public School	407	61%	traditional	no	no	68	3	\$883.99	13
Mullen Public School	159	42%	traditional	no	no	22	23	\$6,089.46	152
Nebr. Unified District 1	438	46%	traditional	no	no	31	21	\$16,685.09	132
Nebraska City Schools	1458	52%	grab and go	no	no	39	46	\$51,137.62	101
Nebraska Lutheran Schools	103	14%	traditional	no	no	15	6	\$1,687.60	157
Neligh-Oakdale School	380	43%	traditional	no	no	43	18	\$9,339.06	86
Nelson Mandela Elementary School	226	64%	traditional	no	yes	65	6	\$1,725.74	15
Newman Grove Public School	174	52%	grab and go	no	no	66	3	\$906.57	14
Niobrara Public School	227	74%	traditional	no	no	77	over 70	over 70	5
Norfolk Catholic School	601	15%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Norfolk Public Schools	4446	50%	traditional	no	no	41	53	\$149,299.16	91
Norris Public Schools	2390	14%	mixed	no	no	34	23	\$25,999.81	118
North American Martyrs School	584	10%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
North Bend Central Public Schools	611	29%	traditional	no	no	38	28	\$14,301.63	105
North Platte Catholic School	393	6%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
North Platte Public School	4230	51%	other	no	partial	79	over 70	over 70	4
Northwest Public Schools	1546	28%	traditional	no	no	32	23	\$6,357.86	127

District	Enrollment	Free and Reduced Price Student Percentage	Breakfast Model	Provision 2 Breakfast	Community Eligibility Provision (CEP)	FRP students in Breakfast per 100 in Lunch (Goal: 70)	Add'l FRP Students in Breakfast Each Day if 70 per 100 in Lunch	Add'l Federal Funding if 70 FRP Kids Ate Breakfast per 100 Lunch	State Rank for FRP Students in Breakfast per 100 in Lunch
O'Neill Public Schools	816	53%	traditional	no	no	33	60	\$32,107.94	124
Oakland-Craig Schools	465	45%	traditional	no	no	34	50	\$14,044.88	NA
Ogallala Public School	901	50%	traditional	no	no	41	46	\$25,026.71	NA
Omaha Public Schools	53194	72%	mixed	partial	partial	52	62	\$1,560,218.20	NA
Ord Public Schools	605	47%	traditional	no	no	31	36	\$18,738.57	NA
Osceola Public School	217	32%	traditional	no	no	16	26	\$6,677.49	NA
Osmond Community School	190	40%	traditional	no	no	46	15	\$3,932.29	NA
Our Lady of Lourdes School	340	35%	traditional	no	no	24	36	\$9,621.66	NA
Our Redeemer Lutheran School - Seward	51	*	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Overton Public School	298	49%	classroom	no	no	47	30	\$7,675.13	NA
Palmer Public School	321	44%	traditional	no	no	41	32	\$9,138.18	NA
Palmyra District OR 1 School	591	20%	traditional	no	no	44	8	\$6,402.61	NA
Papillion-La Vista School	12158	24%	mixed	no	no	51	20	\$48,297.91	NA
Pawnee City Public School	293	50%	traditional	no	no	54	19	\$5,090.48	NA
Paxton Consolidated School	219	47%	traditional	no	no	38	24	\$6,456.54	NA
Pender Public School	390	43%	traditional	no	no	48	27	\$7,738.54	NA
Perkins County Schools	414	34%	grab and go	no	no	38	16	\$8,374.90	NA
Pierce Public School	665	22%	traditional	no	no	30	27	\$14,810.13	NA
Pius X High School	1211	13%	traditional	no	no	12	68	\$17,999.73	NA
Plainview Public School	318	50%	traditional	no	no	31	46	\$12,169.63	NA
Plattsmouth Comm. Schools	1669	44%	traditional	no	no	49	33	\$26,063.37	NA
Pleasanton Public School	303	30%	traditional	no	no	30	28	\$7,334.48	NA
Ponca Public School	466	25%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Potter-Dix Public School	191	50%	traditional	no	no	61	3	\$1,686.33	NA
Ralston Public Schools	3406	55%	mixed	no	no	67	6	\$12,856.69	NA
Randolph Public Schools	252	36%	traditional	no	no	35	26	\$6,528.97	NA
Ravenna Public Schools	446	38%	traditional	no	no	34	48	\$13,136.35	NA
Raymond Central Public School	685	22%	mixed	no	no	48	9	\$7,359.57	NA
Red Cloud Community School	251	60%	traditional	no	no	35	19	\$10,404.51	NA
Riverside Public School	240	53%	traditional	no	no	63	3	\$1,627.88	NA
Rock County Public Schools	242	42%	traditional	no	no	43	10	\$5,570.75	NA
Sacred Heart School - Lincoln	152	59%	traditional	no	no	26	37	\$9,815.58	NA
Sacred Heart School - Omaha	147	95%	traditional	no	yes	46	31	\$8,295.76	NA
Sandhills Public Schools	90	50%	traditional	no	no	74	over 70	over 70	NA
Santee Community School	181	*	mixed	no	partial	69	1	\$314.68	NA
Sargent Public School	170	58%	traditional	no	no	38	21	\$6,311.67	NA
Schuyler Community Schools	2034	70%	traditional	no	no	72	over 70	over 70	NA
Scottsbluff Public Schools	3471	63%	traditional	partial	no	45	47	\$91,975.02	NA
Scotus Central Catholic	350	13%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Scribner-Snyder Schools	204	64%	traditional	no	no	50	19	\$5,254.90	NA
Seward Public Schools	1471	23%	traditional	no	no	33	33	\$18,411.55	NA

District	Enrollment	Free and Reduced Price Student Percentage	Breakfast Model	Provision 2 Breakfast	Community Eligibility Provision (CEP)	FRP students in Breakfast per 100 in Lunch (Goal: 70)	Add'l FRP Students in Breakfast Each Day if 70 per 100 in Lunch	Add'l Federal Funding if 70 FRP Kids Ate Breakfast per 100 Lunch	State Rank for FRP Students in Breakfast per 100 in Lunch
Shelby-Rising City Public School	408	41%	traditional	no	no	24	60	\$16,760.67	NA
Shelton Public School	298	38%	traditional	no	no	32	31	\$8,438.15	NA
Shickley Public School	163	14%	traditional	no	no	34	8	\$1,997.66	NA
Sidney Public School	1312	39%	traditional	no	no	42	22	\$29,508.68	NA
Silver Lake Public School	247	46%	traditional	no	no	63	3	\$1,697.46	NA
South Central Nebraska Unified District #5	686	47%	traditional	no	no	56	9	\$9,275.21	NA
South Platte Public Schools	186	54%	grab and go	no	no	33	32	\$8,454.18	NA
South Sarpy School District 46	1160	19%	mixed	no	no	26	17	\$17,049.66	NA
South Sioux City School District #11	3865	68%	traditional	no	partial	33	91	\$214,160.51	NA
Southern Public Schools	397	64%	traditional	no	no	41	29	\$15,651.90	NA
Southern Valley Schools	386	52%	traditional	no	no	40	48	\$12,966.35	NA
Southwest Public Schools	306	49%	traditional	no	no	36	21	\$5,845.58	NA
Spalding Academy	81	56%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Agnes Academy - Alliance	139	14%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Agnes School - Scottsbluff	118	23%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Andrew's School	18	*	traditional	no	yes	4	12	\$3,314.64	NA
St. Anthony School	115	30%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Augustine Indian Mission School	107	92%	traditional	no	yes	45	20	\$5,349.06	NA
St. Bernadette School	272	27%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Bernard's School	175	27%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Boniface/Pope John	80	28%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Cecilia's Cathedral Corp. of Omaha	338	*	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Columbkille School	511	8%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Edward Public School	183	55%	traditional	no	no	65	4	\$995.54	NA
St. Francis School	198	14%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Gerald Catholic School	372	9%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Isidore Grade School	258	*	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. James School	96	49%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. James-Seton School	472	18%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Joan of Arc School	99	29%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. John Lutheran School - Seward	182	13%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. John Lutheran School- Battle Creek	157	10%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. John Neumann School	20	*	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. John the Baptist School	166	14%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. John's Catholic School	383	15%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Joseph School - Atkinson	51	20%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Joseph School - York	137	21%	grab and go	no	no	3	17	\$4,327.24	NA
St. Joseph School- Beatrice	93	12%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Joseph's School - Lincoln	493	9%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Leonard's School	33	30%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Ludger School	40	45%	other	no	no	56	2	\$363.22	NA

District	Enrollment	Free and Reduced Price Student Percentage	Breakfast Model	Provision 2 Breakfast	Community Eligibility Provision (CEP)	FRP students in Breakfast per 100 in Lunch (Goal: 70)	Add'l FRP Students in Breakfast Each Day if 70 per 100 in Lunch	Add'l Federal Funding if 70 FRP Kids Ate Breakfast per 100 Lunch	State Rank for FRP Students in Breakfast per 100 in Lunch
St. Luke's Catholic School	56	21%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Margaret Mary School	554	3%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Mary's School - Bellevue	204	18%	traditional	no	no	32	10	\$2,634.02	NA
St. Mary's School - Lincoln	149	58%	grab and go	no	no	48	15	\$3,999.32	NA
St. Mary's School - O'Neill	192	17%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Mary's School - Ord	42	55%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Mary's School - Osmond	56	*	traditional	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Mary's School - Wayne	51	22%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Matthew the Evangelist School	161	16%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Michael Catholic School - Lincoln	285	4%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Michael's School - South Sioux City	172	74%	other	no	no	120	over 70	over 70	NA
St. Michaels School - Albion	107	19%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Patrick's School - Lincoln	153	37%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Patrick's School - McCook	147	18%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Patrick's School - Elkhorn	825	2%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Paul Lutheran School - Beatrice	117	21%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Paul Lutheran School - Ogallala	57	*	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Paul Lutheran School - Omaha	46	*	grab and go	no	yes	102	over 70	over 70	NA
St. Paul Lutheran School - Utica	89	27%	traditional	no	no	74	over 70	over 70	NA
St. Paul Lutheran School - West Point	119	15%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Paul Lutheran School - Norfolk	80	28%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Paul Lutheran School - Plymouth	80	*	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Paul Public School	680	29%	traditional	no	no	35	54	\$14,567.60	NA
St. Peter and Paul School	298	86%	traditional	no	no	27	94	\$24,547.73	NA
St. Peter's School	394	7%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Philip Neri School	129	46%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Pius X/St. Leo School	694	21%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Robert Bellarmine School	581	4%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Rose of Lima School	134	16%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Stephen the Martyr School	707	2%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Teresa School	255	28%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Thomas More School	436	33%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Vincent DePaul Catholic	79	*	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Vincent DePaul School	873	5%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Wenceslaus School - Dodge	51	*	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
St. Wenceslaus School - Omaha	892	4%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Stanton Community Schools	387	39%	traditional	no	no	44	16	\$8,513.34	NA
Stapleton Public School	207	29%	mixed	no	no	42	17	\$4,042.08	NA
Sterling Public School	216	29%	grab and go	no	no	56	7	\$1,947.14	NA
Stuart Public School	194	55%	traditional	no	no	51	16	\$4,332.91	NA
Sumner Eddyville Miller School	207	55%	traditional	no	no	76	over 70	over 70	NA

District	Enrollment	Free and Reduced Price Student Percentage	Breakfast Model	Provision 2 Breakfast	Community Eligibility Provision (CEP)	FRP students in Breakfast per 100 in Lunch (Goal: 70)	Add'l FRP Students in Breakfast Each Day if 70 per 100 in Lunch	Add'l Federal Funding if 70 FRP Kids Ate Breakfast per 100 Lunch	State Rank for FRP Students in Breakfast per 100 in Lunch
Superior Public School	430	45%	traditional	no	no	37	52	\$14,523.00	NA
Sutherland Public School	338	28%	traditional	no	no	23	34	\$9,174.38	NA
Sutton Public Schools	410	35%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Syracuse-Dunbar-Avoca School	756	26%	traditional	no	no	47	18	\$10,119.44	NA
Tekamah-Herman Public School	518	32%	traditional	no	no	39	32	\$8,777.13	NA
Thayer Central Community Schools	475	33%	traditional	no	no	63	9	\$2,482.78	NA
Thedford Rural High School	128	49%	traditional	no	no	62	2	\$985.77	NA
Tri County Schools	410	36%	traditional	no	no	55	17	\$4,668.58	NA
Trinity Lutheran School - Fremont	134	14%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Trinity Lutheran School - Grand Island	167	25%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Twin River Public School	457	38%	traditional	no	no	33	25	\$13,489.55	NA
Umo 'ho' Nation Public School	539	*	mixed	no	partial	60	29	\$7,230.10	NA
Valentine Community Schools	608	38%	traditional	no	no	28	27	\$19,909.08	NA
Villa Marie School	17	59%	traditional	no	no	80	over 70	over 70	NA
Wahoo Public Schools	1043	32%	traditional	no	no	45	33	\$18,874.04	NA
Wakefield Community School	540	56%	other	no	no	51	48	\$13,778.63	NA
Wallace School District 65R	184	37%	mixed	no	no	29	24	\$3,565.33	NA
Walther Public School	367	*	traditional	no	yes	61	20	\$5,743.39	NA
Wauneta-Palisade Public School	239	65%	traditional	no	no	80	over 70	over 70	NA
Wausa Public School	250	32%	traditional	no	no	56	10	\$2,468.47	NA
Waverly Public School District #145	2122	21%	traditional	no	no	18	29	\$40,158.39	NA
Wayne Public School	943	3%	traditional	no	partial	40	31	\$23,941.14	NA
Weeping Water Public School	320	39%	grab and go	no	no	70	0	\$77.61	NA
West Holt Public Schools	438	43%	traditional	no	no	38	45	\$11,649.70	NA
West Point Public School	736	60%	traditional	no	no	38	115	\$31,808.70	NA
Westside Community Schools	5942	35%	mixed	no	no	40	40	\$132,127.58	NA
Wheeler Central Schools	99	54%	traditional	no	no	51	7	\$1,847.22	NA
Wilber-Clatonia Public School	624	35%	mixed	no	no	60	8	\$4,375.96	NA
Wilcox-Hildreth School	231	39%	traditional	no	no	46	9	\$4,390.59	NA
Winnebago Public School	586	*	classroom	no	yes	100	over 70	over 70	NA
Winside Public School	198	42%	traditional	no	no	41	20	\$5,267.25	NA
Wisner-Pilger Public School	433	43%	traditional	no	no	43	40	\$11,193.89	NA
Wood River Rural Schools	527	53%	traditional	no	no	76	over 70	over 70	NA
Wynot Public School	183	50%	other	no	no	67	3	\$684.22	NA
York Public Schools	1393	43%	traditional	no	no	45	38	\$31,655.02	NA
Yutan Public Schools	457	25%	traditional	no	no	48	9	\$4,672.41	NA
Zion Lutheran School - Hastings	72	44%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Zion Lutheran School - Kearney	183	17%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Zion Lutheran School - Pierce	107	*	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA
Zion Lutheran School - Plainview	34	29%	none	no	no	NA	NA	NA	NA